New interpretation of the effects of argon-saturating gas toward sonochemical reactions
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ABSTRACT

A number of literature reports showed that argon provides a more sonochemical activity than polyatomic gases because of its higher polytropic ratio; whereas several recent studies showed that polyatomic gases, such as O2, can compensate the lower bubble temperature by the self-decomposition in the bubble. In this work, we show for the first time a numerical interpretation of these controversial reported effects. Computer simulations of chemical reactions inside a collapsing acoustic bubble in water saturated by different gases (Ar, O2, air and N2) have been performed for different frequencies (213–1100 kHz). In all cases, OH radical is the main powerful oxidant created in the bubble. Unexpectedly, the order of saturating gases toward the production rate of OH radical was strongly frequency dependent. The rate of production decreases in the order of Ar > O2 > air > N2 for frequencies above 515 kHz, and Ar starts to lose progressively its first order to the following gases with a gradually decreasing of frequency below 515 kHz up to a final order of O2 > air ~ N2 > Ar at 213 kHz. The analysis of chemical kinetic results showed a surprising aspect: in some cases, there exists an optimum bubble temperature during collapse at which the chemical yield is much higher than that of the maximum bubble temperature achieved in the bubble. On the basis of this, we have concluded that the lower sonochemical activity induced by Ar for frequencies below 515 kHz is mainly due to the forte consumption of radicals inside a bubble prior to complete collapse being reached.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

When a liquid containing dissolved gas is irradiated by an ultrasound wave, many tiny bubbles appear which is a phenomenon known as acoustic cavitation [1]. The bubbles repeat expansion and contraction according to the pressure oscillation of an ultrasonic wave [1]. Some bubbles collapse violently at the contraction phase and extreme temperature and pressures are developed therein (several thousands of Kelvin and several hundreds of atmospheres) [2]. Under such conditions molecules trapped in the bubble (water vapor, gases and vaporized solutes) can be brought to an excited-state and dissociate. As results, reactive species such as •OH, HO2, H, O and H2O2 are created from H2O and O2 dissociation and their associate reactions in the bubble [3]. These chemical products may diffuse out of the bubble and dissolve in the surrounding liquid [4]. Reactions involving free radicals can occur within the collapsing bubble, at the liquid interface and in the surrounding liquid [4]. Solutes, i.e. aqueous pollutants, can easily oxidized by the oxidants such as •OH, which is considered the primary oxidizing species during aqueous sonolysis. The chemistry using acoustic cavitation is called sonochemistry. Sonochemistry is one of the recent advanced oxidation processes for water and wastewater treatment. Cavitation bubbles due to the very high temperatures generated at the final stages of bubble collapse emit light, which is known as sonoluminescence [5].

The sonochemical activity (production of radicals) is influenced by a number of factors such as frequency of ultrasound, dissolved gas, acoustic power and liquid temperature [6–12]. Among these factors, the nature of the dissolved gases have shown controversial effects, particularly differences between argon and polyatomic gases. A number of experimental reports [13–16] showed that argon provides a more sonochemical activity than polyatomic gases because of its higher polytropic ratio, which yields higher bubble temperature at the collapse. Whereas several other studies [9,11,12,17–20] showed that polyatomic gases, such as O2, can compensate the lower O2-bubble temperature by the self-decomposition in the bubble and then yield more sonochemical
activity than argon. Therefore, the mechanism of the argon-induced lower or higher sonochemical activity than polyatomic gases is until now not understood. The present work deals with studying the effects of some saturating gases in scale of single-bubble for a possible explanation of these controversial results reported in the literature. Computer simulations of chemical reactions occurring inside a collapsing acoustic bubble in water saturated by different gases (Ar, O₂, air and N₂) have been performed for different frequencies (213–1100 kHz). The employed model combines the dynamic of bubble collapse in acoustic field with the chemical kinetics occurring in the bubble during the strong collapse.

### 2. Model and computational methods

The theoretical model used in the present numerical simulations has been described in our previous works [21,22]. The following is a brief description of the model.

#### 2.1. Bubble dynamics model

A gas and vapor filled spherical bubble isolated in water oscillates under the action of a sinusoidal sound wave. The temperature and pressure in the bubble are assumed spatially uniform and the gas content of the bubble behaves as an ideal gas [23]. The radial dynamics of the bubble is described by the Keller equation that includes first order terms in the Mach number $\mathcal{M} [24,25]$:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial R}{\partial R} + \frac{R}{2} \frac{d}{dR} \right) & = \frac{1}{\rho_\ell} \left( 1 + \frac{f}{c} + \frac{d}{dR} \right) \\
& \times \left[ \rho - \rho_\infty - \frac{2\sigma}{R} - 4\mu \frac{dR}{dt} + P_a \sin(2\pi ft) \right]
\end{align*}
$$

in which the terms denote time derivatives ($d/dt$), $R$ is the radius of the bubble, $c$ is the speed of sound in the liquid, $\rho$ is the density of the liquid, $\sigma$ is the surface tension, $\mu$ is the liquid viscosity, $p$ is the pressure inside the bubble, $\rho_\infty$ is the ambient static pressure, $P_a$ is the acoustic amplitude and $f$ is the frequency. The acoustic amplitude $P_a$ is correlated with the acoustic intensity $I_a$, or power per unit area, as $P_a = (2\mu I_a/c)^{1/2}$ [4].

The expansion of the bubble is assumed as isothermal and its total compression is considered as adiabatic [26]. These assumptions, which are widely accepted since the lifetime of an oscillation at high frequency is relatively short with a very rapidly occurring collapse event, were pointed out by Yasui et al. [27] using a more detailed model. We also assume that the vapor pressure in the bubble remains constant during the bubble expansion phase and there is no gas diffusion during expansion and no mass and heat transfer of any kind during collapse. We note here that Storey and Szeri [28] demonstrated that the inclusion of mass transfer (non-equilibrium condensation and evaporation and gas diffusion at the bubble wall) on the bubble dynamics has practically no effect on the maximum bubble temperature attained in the bubble at the collapse when the compression ratio of the bubble ($R_{\text{max}}/R_{\text{min}}$) is less than 20 ($R_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum radius of the bubble and $R_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum radius bubble at the collapse). This level of $R_{\text{max}}/R_{\text{min}}$ was never attained in the present numerical study. Therefore, in order to reduce computational parameters, the current model takes, as input, initial bubble vapor content and neglects mass and heat transfer during bubble expansion and collapse.

Based on the above assumptions, the pressure and temperature inside the bubble at any instant during the collapse phase can be calculated from the bubble size as

$$
p = \left[ P_v + P_{go} \left( \frac{R_0}{R_{max}} \right)^3 \right] \left( \frac{R_{max}}{R} \right)^{3\gamma/2} \tag{2}
$$

$$
T = T_{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{max}}{R_{min}} \right)^{3(\gamma-1)} \tag{3}
$$

where $P_v$ is the vapor pressure, $P_{go} = P_\infty + (2\sigma/R_0) - P_v$ is the gas pressure in the bubble at its ambient state ($R = R_0$), $R_0$ is the ambient bubble radius, $T_\infty$ is the bulk liquid temperature and $\gamma$ is the ratio of specific heats capacities ($c_p/c_v$) of the gas/vapor mixture. The maximum internal temperature ($T_{\text{max}}$) and pressure ($p_{\text{max}}$) in the bubble are reached at the end of the bubble collapse and they are approximated by:

$$
T_{\text{max}} = T_{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{\text{max}}}{R_{\text{min}}} \right)^{3\gamma-1} \tag{4}
$$

$$
p_{\text{max}} = \left[ P_v + P_{go} \left( \frac{R_0}{R_{\text{max}}} \right)^3 \right] \left( \frac{R_{\text{max}}}{R_{\text{min}}} \right)^{3\gamma} \tag{5}
$$

It is important to notice here that the assumption of spatial uniform pressure and temperature inside the bubble is valid as long as inertia effects are negligible and the velocity of the bubble wall is below the speed of sound in the vapor/gas mixture. This assumption was justified in detail in the paper published by Kamath et al. [29]. In addition, several researchers [27,30–32] pointed out in their models which include heat transfer that the bubble temperature and pressure are roughly uniform except at a very thin layer, called thermal boundary, near the bubble wall.

### Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>speed of sound in the liquid medium, (m s⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>frequency of ultrasonic wave, (Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_a$</td>
<td>acoustic intensity of ultrasonic irradiation, (W m⁻²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>pressure inside a bubble, (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>maximum pressure inside a bubble (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_\infty$</td>
<td>ambient static pressure, (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_a$</td>
<td>amplitude of the acoustic pressure, (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_\ell$</td>
<td>vapor pressure of water, (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{go}$</td>
<td>initial gas pressure, (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>radius of the bubble, (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>maximum radius of the bubble, (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_0$</td>
<td>ambient bubble radius, (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>time, (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>temperature inside a bubble, (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>maximum temperature inside a bubble, (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_\text{opt}$</td>
<td>optimum bubble temperature for the production of OH radical, (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_\infty$</td>
<td>bulk liquid temperature, (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_i$</td>
<td>solubility (in mole fraction) of the gas $i$ in water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Greek letters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>specific heat ratio ($c_p/c_v$) of the mixture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>surface tension of liquid, (N m⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>density of liquid water, (kg m⁻³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>gas thermal conductivity, (W m⁻² K)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2. Chemical kinetics model

For Ar and O₂ bubbles, a kinetic mechanism consisting in 25 chemical reactions and their backwards reactions (the first 25 reactions of Table 2 in Ref. [22]) [21,26,29] is taken into account involving O₂, H₂O, OH, H, HO₂, O₂, H₂, and H₂O₂ species. For air and N₂ bubbles, a kinetic mechanism consisting in 73 chemical reactions and their backwards reactions (all reactions of Table 2 in Ref. [22]) [33–35] is taken into account including, in addition to those involved in an O₂ bubble, N₂, N, NO, NO₂, NO₃, N₂O₂, HNO₃, N₂O, HNO, NH, NH₂, NH₃, N₂H₂, N₂H₃, NH₄⁺, NO₄, and N₂O₅ species. Detailed information about the kinetic mechanisms used in the present numerical calculations is available in our previous paper [22].

The chemical kinetics model consists of the reaction mechanism and determines the production of each species during the bubble collapse. The detail of the chemical kinetics model used for the simulation of the reactions systems have been described elsewhere [36].

2.3. Procedure of the numerical simulation

The numerical procedure used for solving the bubble dynamic equation and simulating the reactions systems inside a bubble have been presented in detail in our previous work [36]. It should be noticed here that although the chemical reactions occurring in the cavity were classified as endothermic and can cool the bubble at higher temperature than 10,000 K [37], their effects on the bubble dynamics is known to be not significant when the bubble temperature is much lower than 10,000 K [28,38]. This temperature, 10,000 K, was never attained in our numerical simulations. The dynamics output results, thus, will not greatly affected by the chemical reactions. This effect is not taken into account in the present numerical investigation.

3. Results and discussion

In the present work, numerical simulations of chemical reactions occurring inside Ar, O₂, air and N₂ bubbles have been performed for different ultrasonic frequencies in the range of 213–1100 kHz when the acoustic intensity and the bulk liquid temperature were 1 W cm⁻² and 20 °C, respectively. On the other hand, it is known that the ambient radius (R₀) for a typical active bubble depends on the experimentally controllable parameters, principally to the driving ultrasonic frequency [39–42]. The present study tries to use the same frequencies at which the mean ambient bubble radii (R₀) were determined experimentally. The ambient bubble radius (R₀) in the present numerical simulations has been assumed as the mean ambient bubble radius. The selected values of R₀ and frequency are presented in Table 1. In general, the nature of the dissolved gases does not affect significantly the initial size of the bubble. This is confirmed using numerical simulation for various R₀ and different saturating gases (Ar, air and O₂) where we found that the range and the optimum value of R₀, which represent the mean initial size of active bubble, are exactly the same for the different gases (data not shown).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (kHz)</th>
<th>Ambient bubble radius, R₀ (µm)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>515</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>647</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>675</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

Dependence of the order of the saturating gases effect on the driving ultrasonic frequency in some literature experimental sonochemical phenomenons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Frequency (kHz)</th>
<th>Order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nagata et al. [50]</td>
<td>Sonochemical decomposition of hydroxybenzoic acids in water</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Air &gt; Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torres et al. [9]</td>
<td>Production of H₂O₂ and oxidation of bisphenol A in water</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>O₂ &gt; Air &gt; Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arriage et al. [52]</td>
<td>Production of H₂O₂ and oxidation of ibuprofen in water</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>O₂ &gt; Air &gt; Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hart and Henglein [51]</td>
<td>Production of H₂O₂ in water</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>O₂ &gt; H₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark et al. [20]</td>
<td>Fricke dosimetry</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>O₂ &gt; Air &gt; Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beckett and Hua [11]</td>
<td>1,4-Dioxane oxidation and sonoluminescence intensity</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>O₂ &gt; Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mead et al. [12]</td>
<td>Production of H₂O₂ in water</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>O₂ &gt; Air &gt; Ar &gt; N₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hua et Hoffmann [13]</td>
<td>Production of H₂O₂ in water</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>Ar &gt; O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−</td>
<td>Production of H₂O₂ in water'</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>Ar &gt; Air &gt; N₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao et al. [16]</td>
<td>Oxidation of sulfa-methazine in water</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Ar &gt; O₂ &gt; Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−</td>
<td>Oxidation of crystal violet in water</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>Ar &gt; Air &gt; N₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−</td>
<td>Production of H₂O₂ in water'</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Experiments carried out in our laboratory. The employed reactor (generator/transducer/cell arrangement) and methods for quantifying H₂O₂ were described in our previous work [36].
Eq. (3)). It should be noted that for air and N₂ bubbles, the results are the same as those of O₂ bubble as all these polyatomic gases have the same polytropic ratio ($\gamma = 1.41$), which differs from that of Ar ($\gamma_{Ar} = 1.66$).

In Fig. 2(a)–(d), the calculated results of the chemical reactions inside a bubble are shown as function of time at around the end of the bubble collapse for various saturating gases. Under the very high temperatures developed in the bubble at the last stage of the collapse, chemical reactions occur in time scale of several nanoseconds and many chemical products such as ‘OH, HO₂, H, O, H₂O₂ and others are formed from the dissociation of the trapped water vapor and gases and their associate reactions in the bubble. In the case of an air bubble, there is a formation of little amounts of HNO₂ and HNO₃. These two species have been detected and quantified in several experimental studies when a similar trend of higher yields of HNO₂ than HNO₃ was observed [12,45,46]. While HNO₂ is found in N₂ bubble, HNO₃ is not detected (Fig 2(d)). The dissociation of N₂ is mainly by N₂ + O → NO + N and the creation of HNO₂ is mainly by ‘OH + NO + M → HNO₂ + M. HNO₃ is formed mainly through OH + NO₂ + M → HNO₃ + M. Also, several oxidizing nitrogen (NO, NO₃ and N₂O) are detected in air and N₂ bubbles. NO, which showed the higher yield among these species, is the main species responsible for the lower sonochemical activity in air and N₂ bubbles compared with O₂ bubble [47]. It was also observed that all species containing nitrogen are formed only at higher bubble temperatures, nearly at the end of the bubble collapse, whereas oxygenic products, particularly ‘OH radical, started to form at low bubble temperatures. Hydroxyl radical has been specifically identified in sonicated aqueous solution via electron spin resonance [48]. The ‘OH radical is considered the primary oxidizing species during aqueous sonolysis because of its high potential of oxidation than the other oxidants formed in the bubble. From Fig. 2(a)–(d), it was clearly noticed that ‘OH radical is the main powerful oxidant formed in the bubble.

Another important statement that can be observed from Fig. 2(a) is the existence of an optimum temperature (~4200 K) inside an Ar-bubble that maximizes the production of some products, specifically ‘OH radical. For all other gases, the production rates of ‘OH radical attained their upper limit at the end of the bubble collapse at which the maximum bubble temperature is attained.

From Figs. 1 and 2, it was observed that the bubble temperature suddenly drops to the ambient temperature just after the minimum bubble radius. This behavior, which results from the assumption of the adiabatic collapse and isothermal expansion, differs slightly from that of a real situation as can be seen in Ref. [27]. However, this will not affect the calculated chemical bubble yield at the end of the bubble collapse (at $R_{min}$), for which are based the calculations of Fig. 3. Recently, Yasui et al. [42] developed a bubble dynamics model that includes the mass transfer and the thermal conduction phenomena and used them to predict the range of ambient radius for the production of oxidants in an air bubble. In Ref. [49], we carried out a comparison between our model and Yasui’s model [42] in term of the range of ambient radius for the production of the oxidants. At 1000 kHz, Yasui et al. [42] reported a range of 0.1–3 μm. For the same conditions, we have calculated a range of ~0.3–3.8 μm with our model that neglects mass and heat transfer. The conclusion was that our results agree with the results of Yasui et al. [42] and the slightly observed difference is due to the different nature of bubbles: sono-luminescing (SL) bubble in Yasui’s paper and sonochemically active bubble in our work (the range of ambient radius for SL bubble is smaller than that of sonochemically active bubble [42]). This simple comparison showed that the range of ambient bubble radius for
the production of the oxidants is the same with and without heat and mass transfer at the bubble wall.

3.2. ‘OH-production rate dependence of the nature of dissolved gases

Fig. 3 shows the effects of Ar, O₂, air and N₂ saturating gases on the production rate of OH radical in the bubble for various ultrasonic frequencies. The production rate of OH is defined as the amount of this species at the end of the first bubble collapse multiplied by the ultrasonic frequency [42]. From Fig. 3, it clearly appears that the effect of saturating gases on the production rate of OH radical is frequency dependent. Excluding Ar, the order follows O₂ > air > N₂ > H₂. Including Ar, the order is strongly sensitive to the ultrasonic frequency. It follows the order Ar > O₂ > air > N₂ > H₂ for frequencies above 515 kHz and then Ar starts to lose progressively its first order to the following gases with a gradually decreasing of frequency below 515 kHz up to a final order of O₂ > air ∼ N₂ ∼ H₂ > Ar at 213 kHz. It was also
observed that in the case of Ar-bubble there exists an optimum frequency of about 515 kHz for the production of •OH radical.

The effect of saturating gases toward aqueous sonochemical reactions is largely reported in the literature. However, the obtained orders observed in Fig. 3 for single bubble sonochemistry are in very good agreement with the large amount of experimental reports as can be seen in Table 2 that summarizes some of the literature experimental results.

In general, dissolved gases influence single bubble sonochemistry through three aspects [53]. Firstly, monatomic gases typically have greater polytropic indexes \(\gamma (\gamma_{Ar} = 1.66)\) than polyatomic gases, and the higher polytropic index can result in higher temperature achieved in the bubble at the collapse. Secondly, some polyatomic gases, such as \(O_2\), can provide a secondary source for radicals’ production by the self pyrolytic dissociation and its associate reactions inside a bubble and, thus, compensate the lower bubble temperature generated by the polyatomic gases. Thirdly, gases with low thermal conductivities \(\lambda\) can reduce the heat dissipation, thus facilitating the increase in collapse temperature \(T_{max}\) and enhancing sonochemical activity.

In this manuscript, argon has the greater polytropic ratio \(\gamma_{Ar} = 1.66\) and the lower thermal conductivity \(\lambda_{Ar} = 0.018 \text{ W m}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}\) [54] than \(O_2\), air and \(N_2\) gases that have the same \(\gamma\) and \(\lambda\) \(\gamma = 1.41\), \(\lambda = 0.026 \text{ W m}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}\) [54]. Therefore, the bubble implosion in the presence of Ar favors a higher bubble temperature, which is what we obtained numerically as shown in Fig. 4. It should be noted that the effect of thermal conductivity is not considered in our model as the heat transfer during bubble oscillation is ignored. However, it is important to mention that Okitsu et al. [55] showed experimentally that the bubble temperature is not affected by the gas conductivity at high ultrasound frequency. Therefore, it is not surprising that argon achieved the highest production rates of •OH radical at high frequencies above 515 kHz. The unexpected effect is the lower production rate of •OH radical at frequencies below 515 kHz for an argon bubble despite the higher temperatures. This trend could not be attributed to the neglected thermal conductivity because the maximum temperatures for 213 and 355 kHz are much higher than those attained at frequencies above 515 kHz (see Fig. 4). Additionally, the maximum temperatures achieved in the bubbles follow the logical order: the gas with a lower conductivity generates the highest temperature. Consequently, the logical question to be asked is why Ar provided a lower sonochemical activity than polyatomic gases for only frequencies below 515 kHz. Several authors [9,11,12,20,52] interpreted this trend by the fact that \(O_2\) (or air) sonolysis engenders additional reactions within the gaseous bubble, which leads to the production of additional radical species during decomposition of \(O_2\) and this can compensate the lower \(O_2\)-bubble temperature. However, if this is the case, why polyatomic gases showed lower sonochemical activity than argon for all frequencies above 515 kHz which is the phenomenon observed experimentally as well as numerically. On the other hand, the numerical simulations showed that similar products are found in Ar and \(O_2\) bubbles (see Fig. 2(a)–(b)), which indicates that similar reactions are occurred in both Ar and \(O_2\) bubbles. Therefore, in reality, the presence of \(O_2\) within the bubble only accelerates or decelerates the rates of reactions involved therein and does not provide additional sources for the production of radicals in the gaseous phase. Consequently, the hypothesis of the \(O_2\)-yielded additional reactions in the bubble which compensate the lower bubble temperature is not well justified.

For a possible explanation of the unexpected effect of Ar, we have analyzed the results of the chemical kinetics as function of time during collapse for all conditions of saturating gases and frequency. Surprisingly, the analysis showed that in some cases there is an optimum bubble temperature during collapse at which the
yield of OH is much higher than that of the maximum bubble temperature achieved in the bubble. This surprising aspect was observed only for Ar bubbles at frequencies below 515 kHz. For all other gases, the production rates of OH radical attained their upper limit at the end of the bubble collapse when the maximum bubble temperature is achieved. In Fig. 5, the evolutions of the mole fraction of OH created inside an Ar-bubble as function of temperature during the collapse phase for different ultrasonic frequencies are shown for various conditions. At 647 kHz, the
maximum production of OH is achieved at the end of the bubble collapse when the bubble temperature attained the maximum value \( T_{\text{max}} = 3260 \, K \). At 515 kHz, an optimum bubble temperature for the production of OH begins to appear at around the end of the bubble collapse \( T_{\text{opt}} \approx T_{\text{max}} \approx 4000 \, K \) and the production rate at this point is 2.5 times higher than that obtained at 647 kHz. At 355 kHz, an optimum bubble temperature of \( \approx 4200 \, K \) is observed, which is significantly lower than the maximum bubble temperature achieved at the complete bubble collapse \( T_{\text{max}} = 5112 \, K \). The production rate of OH radical at the complete bubble collapse at 355 kHz is \( \approx 1.5 \) times lower than that at 515 kHz and \( \approx 2.4 \) times lower than that obtained at the optimum bubble temperature \( \approx 4800 \, K \). At 213 kHz, the optimum bubble temperature for the production of OH radical is \( \approx 4800 \, K \) whereas the maximum bubble temperature is \( 6330 \, K \). In this case, the production rate of OH at the complete bubble collapse, which is slightly higher than that at 355 kHz, is \( \approx 4 \) times lower than that obtained at the optimum bubble temperature \( \approx 4800 \, K \). As a consequence, the higher sonochemical activity in the case of polyatomic gases, particularly \( O_2 \), compared with Ar for frequencies below 515 kHz is not because these gases are dissociated in the bubble and compensate the effect of the lower bubble temperature, but because the oxidants, i.e. OH radicals, are strongly consumed inside Ar bubble before the end of the bubble collapse as shown in Fig. 5. The optimum in the production of OH results from the competition between the reactions of formation and those of consumption of OH at high temperature. The determination of the exact reactions responsible for the consumption and the formation of OH is very difficult. However, the most important chemical reactions inside air, \( O_2 \) and Ar bubbles have been reported by Yasui and coworkers \[34,56\] for various bubble temperatures. Nevertheless, on the basis of the results of Fig. 5, it was observed that the competition effect between the reactions of formation and those of consumption is important in the case of Ar than the other gases, which is reflected by an optimum on the curves of Ar at frequencies below 515 kHz.

We will discuss now the effects of the selected gases on the overall sonochemical yield in aqueous solution. Aqueous solution is a multibubble system where a millions of bubbles are expected to be formed \[36\]. The overall sonochemical activity in aqueous solution depends on the single bubble event as well as the number of bubbles. At fixed operating parameters (frequency, acoustic intensity and liquid temperature), the factor that controls the number of bubbles is the gas solubility. Generally, the higher the gas solubility, the higher will be the nucleation sites for cavitation leading to the higher number of bubbles in the cavitating medium. The solubilities (in mole fraction) of the studied gases are \[57\]:

\[
\begin{align*}
X_{O_2} &= 2.748 \times 10^{-5}, \\
X_{N_2} &= 2.517 \times 10^{-5}, \\
X_{Ar} &= 1.524 \times 10^{-5}, \\
X_{N_2} &= 1.276 \times 10^{-2}.
\end{align*}
\]

For frequencies above 515 kHz, the gases solubility and the individual chemical bubble yield followed the same order: \( Ar > O_2 > air > N_2 \). Thus, there is no doubt that argon achieved the highest overall sonochemical activity when frequency is above 515 kHz (see Table 2) as Ar provided the highest individual chemical bubble yield and the higher number of bubbles. For frequencies below 515 kHz, the overall sonochemical yield (Table 2) follows the order of the individual chemical bubble yield (Fig. 3) rather than that of the solubility. This is attributed to the effect of Argon in this region where the slope of the Ar curve slowdown as observed in Fig. 3.

4. Conclusions

In this work, the effects of argon and polyatomic gases toward sonochemical activity has been numerically studied. Computer calculation of the bubble oscillation and chemical reactions inside a bubble have been performed for various frequencies and saturating gases. The numerical results showed that the production rate of hydroxyl radical (the powerful oxidant created in the bubble) is strongly frequency dependent. The rate of production decreases in the order of \( Ar > O_2 > air > N_2 \) for all frequencies above 515 kHz and then Ar losses progressively the first order to the following gases with a gradually decreasing of frequency below 515 kHz up to a final order of \( O_2 > air > N_2 > Ar \) at 213 kHz. The present study gives a numerical interpretation, which differs from that reported in the literature for the obtained trend. There exists an optimum bubble temperature during collapse at which the chemical bubble yield is higher than that of the maximum bubble temperature achieved in the bubble, phenomenon observed only for an argon bubble at frequencies below 515 kHz. It was concluded that the lower sonochemical activity induced by Ar for frequencies below 515 kHz is mainly due to the forte consumption of radicals inside a bubble prior the complete collapse being reached.
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